



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,872	07/10/2003	Rickey J. Thomas	0275Y-000703	1565
27572	7590	07/27/2006	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			BLAKE, CAROLYN T	
P.O. BOX 828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			3724	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,872	THOMAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carolyn T. Blake	3724		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 3,4,6 and 23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 3,4,6 and 23 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.
_____ .

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment and remarks filed on June 27, 2006.
2. The indicated allowability of claims 3, 4, and 23 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Davey. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 4 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davey (2,017,895).

Davey discloses a hand saw (10) as claimed, comprising: a handle (12) including a hand grip portion (20) and first and second blade mounting portions, said first blade mounting portion (including 24 and 27 at A) being disposed on a side surface of said handle and said second blade mounting portion (including 24 and 2 at C) being disposed on a bottom surface of said handle; and a blade (11) adapted to be removably mounted to either of said first and second blade mounting portions, wherein said handle (12) is a single, integrally formed member, and wherein said first and second blade mounting portions each include a key (27) adapted to be received in an end slot (15) in said blade.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davey (2,017,895) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter, AAPA).

Davey discloses a hand saw (10) substantially as claimed, comprising: a handle (12) including a hand grip portion (20) and first and second blade mounting portions, said first blade mounting portion (including 24 and 27 at A) being disposed on a side surface of said handle and said second blade mounting portion (including 24 and 2 at C) being disposed on a bottom surface of said handle; and a blade (11) adapted to be removably mounted to either of said first and second blade mounting portions, wherein said handle (12) is a single, integrally formed member, and wherein said first and second blade mounting portions each include a key (27) adapted to be received in an end slot (15) in said blade.

Davey fails to disclose the blade mounting portions each include a screw boss. However, the in the last Office action, Official notice was taken it is old and well known in the art to use a boss while attaching two parts in order to guide a fastener. Applicant failed to traverse the merits of this assertion, so the common knowledge is taken to be admitted prior art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a boss with the Davey device for the purpose of guiding the fastener.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn T. Blake whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CB
CB
July 13, 2006


BOYER D. ASHLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER